# **Program-Level Intended Outcomes Form**

Student Learning Information for A.S. & B.S. (Business Management)				
Mission of the School of Business:  To enhance the career potential of students from various academic and societal backgrounds through a traditional and enterprising education that provides the applied career skills and knowledge of best practices that is desired by employers in the public, private, and non-profit sectors. The School of Business will be the regional leader in developing and adapting programs that prepare students with the skills desired in the workforce through excellence in management programs and business discipline programs.				
Intended Student Learning Outcomes for	A.S. & B.S. (Business Manageme	(nt) (detailed definition of learning objectives attached):		
Work well with others and with a der Target in 2010-2011	monstrated appreciation of individ	lual differences and a sensitivity to diversity. (Teamwork) – Assessment		
2. Clearly communicate thoughts and id	leas both verbally and in writing.	(Communication) – Assessment Target in 2009/2010		
3. Apply information technology tools a	and techniques to meet the needs a	and expectations of the workplace. (Information Technology)		
4. Analyze, integrate and communicate Thinking) – Assessment Target in 20		management decision-making. (Decision Making/Problem Solving/ Critical		
5. Apply theory and practice in solving	organizational problems. (Theory	and Practice)		
Assessment Tools/Methods for Intended Direct Measures of Student Learning	Student Learning Outcomes—	Performance Targets/Criteria for Direct Measures:		
TEAMWORK: Case Study with Pre Strategic Planning course	sentations using BMGT 417 –	Assessment used to establish baseline measurement for Teamwork outcomes		
2. DECISION-MAKING: Report evalue company by analyzing the financial of stock prices of the company during the Corporate Finance course. (Rubric a	locuments and also tracking the ne semester. Using BMGT 300	The standard for achievement is that 50% of students will score an average of 3.0 or higher on the rubric.		
3. 3 <sup>rd</sup> Annual Business Plan Contest – Group Presentation and Report (Shared Measure for Introduction to Business core course)  Participants achieve "above average" or better based on rubric				
Assessment Tools/Methods for Intended Indirect Measures of Student Learning	Student Learning Outcomes—	Performance Targets/Criteria for Indirect Measures:		

		Assessment used to establish baseline measur	coment for Teer	avvorle
1.	Student Self Reflection (assignment as defined in Direct Measure 1. above)	outcomes		IIWOIK
2.	Student Peer Review Made by Presenter (assignment as defined in Direct Measure 1. above)	Assessment used to establish baseline measurement for Teamwork outcomes		nwork
3.	Instructor Reflection (assignment as defined in Direct Measure 1. above)	Assessment used to establish baseline measur outcomes	rement for Team	nwork
4.	NSSE Bi-Annual Survey of freshman and senior undergraduate respondents for total School of Business (breakdown by program is unavailable at this time)	85% or higher of Survey Responses are equal University, Middle States, Carnegie class and measured for freshman and senior respondent	or NSSE response	
C	manage of Desults from Implementing Direct Messages of Student Learn	- !n~.	Performance '	Target Was
Su.	mmary of Results from Implementing Direct Measures of Student Learn	ing.	Met	Not Met
1.	1. TEAMWORK: Each team prepared a multidimensional team assessment of a company selected using nine analytical tools and a SWOT. These assignments were graded and returned. Each team made a separate in-class presentation of the firm, its current status and evaluated three alternatives for its future direction. Students excelled in the application of strategic management tools to a major firm. However, not all teams came together to work effectively.			
2.	2. DECISION-MAKING: BMGT 300 (Corporate Finance) was selected as the target course for assessing this objective. All full time faculty participated in the assessment. The target performance level of 50% of students achieving a minimum score of 3.0 was not met – only 6 out of 13 students were able to achieve the target level. However 4 students missed the standard by one point. Students were weak in the financial analysis section, while stronger in the content area. This indicates that students had the data, but did not completely analyze the data.			X
3.	Judges from the University and external community assessed all contest continue to see improvement year over year in the quality and creativity		X	
Sur	mmary of Results from Implementing Indirect Measures of Student Lear	ning.	Performance '	Target Was
Su.	minary of Results from implementing municet weasures of Student Lear	mig.	Met	Not Met
1.	TEAMWORK (all indirect measures): BMGT 417 tudents reported satisfaction with the multi-dimensional team assessment activity. They feld that it made the material presented in the book and class more relevant. Students reported mixed results on the in-class presentation, largely because of the poor participation by some members. Students reported that they learned from the team application exercises. While many students felt the team exercise was a way of improving collaboration, some students did not participate fully.		Setting Baseline	
2.	DECISION-MAKING: Overall, students indicated they were very sati Finance course. In particular, students remarked at how the assignment contained in the report.		Setting Baseline	

3. School of Business Result: Freshman respondents on 2009 survey rated the School of Business on par or above one or more peers for 96% of metrics, with 6% of metrics evaluated as statistically significantly higher than one or more peers and 4% of metrics statistically significantly lower than peers. Senior respondents rated the School of Business on par or above one or more peers for 94% of metrics, with 2% of metrics evaluated as statistically significantly higher than one or more peers and 6% of metrics statistically significantly lower than peers. Main areas of opportunity for both student populations include increased engagement with faculty and programming outside of the classroom. Results consistent with significant number of working professionals within student population.

## Proposed Courses of Action for Improvement in Areas for which Performance Targets Were Not Met:

- 1. **Teamwork Direct Measure**: Continue the multi-dimensional team assessment approach. Move the team presentation earlier in these mester. These presentations had to compete with many other assignments in this and other classes.
- 2. **Teamwork Indirect Measure**: Ask students to provide a midterm team member assessment in addition to the end of semester assessment.
- 3. **Decision Making Direct Measure:** The curriculum should place greater emphasis on analysis, including content-heavy courses. Faculty will review curriculum for opportunities to strengthen analysis components, and indentify additional resources as appropriate.
- 4. **Business Plan Contest**: Opportunity to expand the Business Plan Contest to other courses, or to take winning ideas beyond the planning phase in to later coursework, such as BMGT 417 Strategic Planning.
- 5. School of Business Action Plan for NSSE Results: Main areas of opportunity for both student populations include increased engagement with faculty and programming outside of the classroom. Results consistent with significant number of working professionals within student population.

### NOTE:

- 1. Teamwork Direct Measure and Indirect Measure (Student Self-Assessment of Report) covered students in Business Management, Human Resources Management, and Management Services. Results for these measures are reported in both Business Management and Management Services program reports. Human Resources Management assessment results are also presented in anticipation of accreditation as part of the 2011 re-affirmation process.
- 2. Direct Measure (3<sup>rd</sup> Annual Business Plan Contest Presentation and Report) was applied to all business programs that require the BMGT 101 Introduction to Business core course. Results were assessed for the total participant pool, and are reported as such for each program.
- 3. **Feedback Loop for 2009-2010 outcomes assessment:** the 2009-2010 learning outcomes assessment noted a deficiency in the results of the direct measurement of student learning objective "Clearly communicate thoughts and ideas both verbally and in writing (Communication)". Recommended action was implemented and a feedback loop instituted to measure the effectiveness of remediation. The results of the follow up assessment, using the same rubric, were that 100% of all Business Management, HRM, and Management Services majors met or exceeded expectations, versus only 52% in the original assessment. See "B.S. Business Management, HRM, and Management Services Programs Closing the Loop Assessment on Communications Objective".

# **Program-Level Intended Outcomes Form**

Student	Student Learning Information for A.S. & B.S. (Human Resources Management)				
Mission of the School of Business:  To enhance the career potential of students from various academic and societal backgrounds through a traditional and enterprising education that provides the applied career skills and knowledge of best practices that is desired by employers in the public, private, and non-profit sectors. The School of Business will be the regional leader in developing and adapting programs that prepare students with the skills desired in the workforce through excellence in management programs and business discipline programs.					
Intended Student Learning Outcomes for A	.S. & B.S. (Business Manageme	nt) (detailed definition of learning objectives attached):			
Work well with others and with a dem Target in 2010-2011	onstrated appreciation of individ	ual differences and a sensitivity to diversity. (Teamwork) – Assessment			
2. Clearly communicate thoughts and ide	as both verbally and in writing.	(Communication) – Assessment Target in 2009/2010			
3. Apply information technology tools an	d techniques to meet the needs a	and expectations of the workplace. (Information Technology)			
4. Analyze, integrate and communicate of Thinking)	omplex information to facilitate	management decision-making. (Decision Making/Problem Solving/ Critical			
5. Apply theory and practice in solving o	rganizational problems. (Theory	and Practice)			
Assessment Tools/Methods for Intended S Direct Measures of Student Learning	tudent Learning Outcomes—	Performance Targets/Criteria for Direct Measures:			
Case Study with Presentations using B course	MGT 417 – Strategic Planning	Assessment used to establish baseline measurement for Teamwork outcomes			
2. 3 <sup>rd</sup> Annual Business Plan Contest – Gr (Shared Measure for Introduction to B		Participants achieve "above average" or better based on rubric			
Assessment Tools/Methods for Intended Student Learning Outcomes— Indirect Measures of Student Learning		Performance Targets/Criteria for Indirect Measures:			
Student Self Reflection (assignment as above)	defined in Direct Measure 1.	Assessment used to establish baseline measurement for Teamwork outcomes			
2. Student Peer Review Made by Present	er (assignment as defined in	Assessment used to establish baseline measurement for Teamwork outcomes			

	Direct Measure 1. above)			
3.	Instructor Reflection (assignment as defined in Direct Measure 1. above)	Assessment used to establish baseline measurement for Teamwork outcomes		nwork
4.	NSSE Bi-Annual Survey of freshman and senior undergraduate respondents for total School of Business (breakdown by program is unavailable at this time)	85% or higher of Survey Responses are equal to or better than Point Pa University, Middle States, Carnegie class and/or NSSE respondent pool measured for freshman and senior respondents respectively		
Sur	mmary of Results from Implementing Direct Measures of Student Learn	ing.	Performance Target Was	
Su.	minary of Results from implementing Direct weasures of Student Learn	mg.	Met	Not Met
1.	Each team prepared a multidimensional team assessment of a company SWOT. These assignments were graded and returned. Each team mad its current status and evaluated three alternatives for its future direction. strategic management tools to a major firm. However, not all teams can	e a separate in-class presentation of the firm, Students excelled in the application of	Setting Baseline	
2.	Judges from the University and external community assessed all contest continue to see improvement year over year in the quality and creativity		X	
Cm	mmary of Results from Implementing Indirect Measures of Student Lear	min a.	Performance Target Was	
Su.	minary of Results from implementing indirect Measures of Student Lear	ming.	Met	Not Met
1.	1. TEAMWORK (all indirect measures): BMGT 417 tudents reported satisfaction with the multi-dimensional team assessment activity. They feld that it made the material presented in the book and class more relevant. Students reported mixed results on the in-class presentation, largely because of the poor participation by some members. Students reported that they learned from the team application exercises. While many students felt the team exercise was a way of improving collaboration, some students did not participate fully.			
2.			X	
Pro	oposed Courses of Action for Improvement in Areas for which Performa	nce Targets Were Not Met:	<u>l</u>	
1.	<b>Teamwork Direct Measure</b> : Continue the multi-dimensional team ass. These presentations had to compete with many other assignments in this		n earlier in thes	emester.

2. **Teamwork Indirect Measure**: Ask students to provide a midterm team member assessment in addition to the end of semester assessment.

- 3. **Business Plan Contest**: Opportunity to expand the Business Plan Contest to other courses, or to take winning ideas beyond the planning phase in to later coursework, such as BMGT 417 Strategic Planning.
- 4. School of Business Action Plan for NSSE Results: Main areas of opportunity for both student populations include increased engagement with faculty and programming outside of the classroom. Results consistent with significant number of working professionals within student population.

### NOTE:

- Direct Measure and Indirect Measure (Student Self-Assessment of Report) covered students in Business Management, Human Resources
  Management, and Management Services. Results for these measures are reported in both Business Management and Management Services
  program reports. Human Resources Management assessment results are also presented in anticipation of accreditation as part of the 2011 reaffirmation process.
- 2. Direct Measure (3<sup>rd</sup> Annual Business Plan Contest Presentation and Report) was applied to all business programs that require the BMGT 101 Introduction to Business core course. Results were assessed for the total participant pool, and are reported as such for each program.
- 3. **Feedback Loop for 2009-2010 outcomes assessment:** the 2009-2010 learning outcomes assessment noted a deficiency in the results of the direct measurement of student learning objective "Clearly communicate thoughts and ideas both verbally and in writing (Communication)". Recommended action was implemented and a feedback loop instituted to measure the effectiveness of remediation. The results of the follow up assessment, using the same rubric, were that 100% of all Business Management, HRM, and Management Services majors met or exceeded expectations, versus only 52% in the original assessment. See "B.S. Business Management, HRM, and Management Services Programs Closing the Loop Assessment on Communications Objective".
- 4. 2010-2011 program assessment was conducted on existing Human Resources Management curriculum. HRM curriculum was updated during the academic year to align with the learning objectives and recommended educational content of the Society for Human Resources Management (SHRM). Proposed remediation actions will be applied to the new curriculum, which becomes effective in the 2011-2012 academic year.

# **Program-Level Intended Outcomes Form**

	Student Learning Information	for B.S. (Management Services)		
Mission of the School of Business:  To enhance the career potential of students from various academic and societal backgrounds through a traditional and enterprising education that provides the applied career skills and knowledge of best practices that is desired by employers in the public, private, and non-profit sectors. The School of Business will be the regional leader in developing and adapting programs that prepare students with the skills desired in the workforce through excellence in management programs and business discipline programs.				
Intended Student Learning Outcomes for	B.S. (Management Services) (deta	ailed definition of learning objectives attached):		
Work well with others and with a der Target in 2010-2011	monstrated appreciation of individ	ual differences and a sensitivity to diversity. (Teamwork) – Assessment		
2. Clearly communicate thoughts and ic	leas both verbally and in writing. (	(Communication) – Assessment Target in 2009/2010		
3. Apply information technology tools a	and techniques to meet the needs a	and expectations of the workplace. (Information Technology)		
4. Analyze, integrate and communicate Thinking)	complex information to facilitate	management decision-making. (Decision Making/Problem Solving/ Critical		
5. Apply theory and practice in solving	organizational problems. (Theory	and Practice)		
Assessment Tools/Methods for Intended Direct Measures of Student Learning	Student Learning Outcomes—	Performance Targets/Criteria for Direct Measures:		
Case Study with Presentations using course	BMGT 417 – Strategic Planning	Assessment used to establish baseline measurement for Teamwork outcomes		
2. 3 <sup>rd</sup> Annual Business Plan Contest – C (Shared Measure for Introduction to		Participants achieve "above average" or better based on rubric		
Assessment Tools/Methods for Intended Indirect Measures of Student Learning	Student Learning Outcomes—	Performance Targets/Criteria for Indirect Measures:		
Student Self Reflection (assignment above)	as defined in Direct Measure 1.	Assessment used to establish baseline measurement for Teamwork outcomes		
2. Student Peer Review Made by Preser	nter (assignment as defined in	Assessment used to establish baseline measurement for Teamwork outcomes		

	Direct Measure 1. above)			
3.	Instructor Reflection (assignment as defined in Direct Measure 1. above)	Assessment used to establish baseline measurement for Teamwork outcomes		nwork
4.	NSSE Bi-Annual Survey of freshman and senior undergraduate respondents for total School of Business (breakdown by program is unavailable at this time)	85% or higher of Survey Responses are equal to or better than Point Pa University, Middle States, Carnegie class and/or NSSE respondent poor measured for freshman and senior respondents respectively		
Ç.,	mmary of Results from Implementing Direct Measures of Student Learn	ing	Performance '	Target Was
Su	minary of Results from implementing Direct Measures of Student Learn	ing:	Met	Not Met
1.	Each team prepared a multidimensional team assessment of a company SWOT. These assignments were graded and returned. Each team mad its current status and evaluated three alternatives for its future direction. strategic management tools to a major firm. However, not all teams can	Setting Baseline		
2.	Judges from the University and external community assessed all contest continue to see improvement year over year in the quality and creativity		X	
C.,	mmary of Results from Implementing Indirect Measures of Student Lear	min a.	Performance Target Was	
Su	minary of Results from implementing indirect Measures of Student Lear	ining.	Met	Not Met
1.	TEAMWORK (all indirect measures): BMGT 417 tudents reported satisfies assessment activity. They feld that it made the material presented in the reported mixed results on the in-class presentation, largely because of the Students reported that they learned from the team application exercises was a way of improving collaboration, some students did not participate.	e book and class more relevant. Students he poor participation by some members.  While many students felt the team exercise	Setting Baseline	
2.	School of Business Result: Freshman respondents on 2009 survey rate or more peers for 96% of metrics, with 6% of metrics evaluated as stati peers and 4% of metrics statistically significantly lower than peers. See on par or above one or more peers for 94% of metrics, with 2% of metric higher than one or more peers and 6% of metrics statistically significant opportunity for both student populations include increased engagement classroom. Results consistent with significant number of working professional contents.	stically significantly higher than one or more nior respondents rated the School of Business rics evaluated as statistically significantly that lower than peers. Main areas of with faculty and programming outside of the	X	
Pro	oposed Courses of Action for Improvement in Areas for which Performa	nce Targets Were Not Met:	<b>!</b>	
1.	<b>Teamwork Direct Measure</b> : Continue the multi-dimensional team as: These presentations had to compete with many other assignments in the		n earlier in thes	semester.

2. **Teamwork Indirect Measure**: Ask students to provide a midterm team member assessment in addition to the end of semester assessment.

- 3. **Business Plan Contest**: Opportunity to expand the Business Plan Contest to other courses, or to take winning ideas beyond the planning phase in to later coursework, such as BMGT 417 Strategic Planning.
- 4. School of Business Action Plan for NSSE Results: Main areas of opportunity for both student populations include increased engagement with faculty and programming outside of the classroom. Results consistent with significant number of working professionals within student population.

### NOTE:

- 1. Direct Measure and Indirect Measure (Student Self-Assessment of Report) covered students in Business Management, Human Resources Management, and Management Services. Results for these measures are reported in both Business Management and Management Services program reports. Human Resources Management assessment results are also presented in anticipation of accreditation as part of the 2011 reaffirmation process.
- 2. Direct Measure (3<sup>rd</sup> Annual Business Plan Contest Presentation and Report) was applied to all business programs that require the BMGT 101 Introduction to Business core course. Results were assessed for the total participant pool, and are reported as such for each program.
- 3. **Feedback Loop for 2009-2010 outcomes assessment:** the 2009-2010 learning outcomes assessment noted a deficiency in the results of the direct measurement of student learning objective "Clearly communicate thoughts and ideas both verbally and in writing (Communication)". Recommended action was implemented and a feedback loop instituted to measure the effectiveness of remediation. The results of the follow up assessment, using the same rubric, were that 100% of all Business Management, HRM, and Management Services majors met or exceeded expectations, versus only 52% in the original assessment. See "B.S. Business Management, HRM, and Management Services Programs Closing the Loop Assessment on Communications Objective".

## **Program-Level Intended Outcomes Form**

	Student Learning Information for A.S. & B.S. (Accounting)				
Mission of the School of Business:  To enhance the career potential of students from various academic and societal backgrounds through a traditional and enterprising education that provides the applied career skills and knowledge of best practices that is desired by employers in the public, private, and non-profit sectors. The School of Business will be the regional leader in developing and adapting programs that prepare students with the skills desired in the workforce through excellence in management programs and business discipline programs.					
Intended Student Learning Outcomes for A	.S. & B.S. (Accounting) (detailed	d definition of learning objectives attached):			
1. Work well with others and with a demo	onstrated appreciation of individ	ual differences and a sensitivity to diversity. (Teamwork)			
2. Clearly communicate thoughts and idea	as both verbally and in writing. (	(Communication) – Assessment Target in 2009/2010			
		and expectations of the workplace. More specifically, demonstrate ability to nology) – Assessment Target in 2010-2011			
4. Analyze, integrate and communicate contribution Thinking)	4. Analyze, integrate and communicate complex information to facilitate management decision-making. (Decision Making/Problem Solving/ Critical Thinking)				
5. Apply theory and practice in solving or	ganizational problems. (Theory	and Practice)			
Assessment Tools/Methods for Intended St Direct Measures of Student Learning	Assessment Tools/Methods for Intended Student Learning Outcomes— Direct Measures of Student Learning  Performance Targets/Criteria for Direct Measures:				
Given a project/case study and QuickB knowledge of Generally Accepted Acce and knowledge of QuickBooks softwar cycle and generate accurate financial records.	ounting Principles (GAAP) to complete the accounting	The standard for achievement is that 70% of students will score 75% or higher on the rubric.			
2. 3 <sup>rd</sup> Annual Business Plan Contest – Gro (Shared Measure for Intro to Business of		Participants achieve "above average" or better based on rubric			

Outco	sment Tools/Methods for Intended Student Learning omes— ect Measures of Student Learning	Performance Targets/Criteria for Indirect	Measures:	
co	tudents will be asked to complete a survey upon completion of the burse. Survey questions will pertain to student's perceived comfort vel in applying various QuickBooks core competencies.	Content of Course evaluations – establish bas	eline.	
re	SSE Bi-Annual Survey of freshman and senior undergraduate espondents for total School of Business (breakdown by program is navailable at this time)	85% or higher of Survey Responses are equal University, Middle States, Carnegie class and measured for freshman and senior respondent	or NSSE response	
Summ	nary of Results from Implementing Direct Measures of Student Learns	ing:	Performance 7	Γarget Was
Sullill	lary of Results from implementing Direct Measures of Student Learn.	mg.	Met	Not Met
fu gr wa wa ca Fa	1. The case study assigned in ACCT 204 (Computer Applications in Accounting) was used for the direct measure. All full time accounting faculty graded the case studies using the rubric and these grades were compared to the original grade. The standard for achievement is that 70% of students will score 75% or higher on the rubric. This standard was exceeded, with only one student scoring below the 75% threshold. The weakest line item on the scoring rubric was related to achievement of the final net income, total asset balance, and statement of cash flow figures. In these cases, the student did not return to the detail general ledger to uncover errors in transaction entry or adjustments. Failure to return to the detail to find errors would be unacceptable in a professional accounting position. In terms of strengths, students excelled in entering transactions and accurately locating and printing specified documents as required by the project deliverables list.		X	
	2. Judges from the University and external community assessed all contest groups as having done a great job. We continue to see improvement year over year in the quality and creativity of the students' ideas and presentation.		X	
Summary of Results from Implementing Indirect Measures of Student Learning:			Performance Target Was	
Sullill	lary of Results from implementing indirect weasures of Student Lear	ming.	Met	Not Met
m pr th	Over 92% of the students surveyed either agreed or strongly agreed that they could use QuickBooks to create and manage an accounting system for an organization with a high degree of competence and that they understood how a professional accountant would use QuickBooks for client recordkeeping purposes. Students responses indicated that they were confident of their ability to apply the knowledge learned in the course to real-world work scenarios. However, only 57% of the students who were asked to take the Blackboard Survey actually completed the survey.		X	
or pe on hi	chool of Business Result: Freshman respondents on 2009 survey rated more peers for 96% of metrics, with 6% of metrics evaluated as statistics and 4% of metrics statistically significantly lower than peers. Sen par or above one or more peers for 94% of metrics, with 2% of metrics than one or more peers and 6% of metrics statistically significantly populations include increased engagement	stically significantly higher than one or more nior respondents rated the School of Business ics evaluated as statistically significantly tly lower than peers. Main areas of	X	

classroom. Results consistent with significant number of working professionals within student population.

Proposed Courses of Action for Improvement in Areas for which Performance Targets Were Not Met:

- 1. **Improvement of the Direct Measurement**: The rubric for the case study should be refined and re-weighted to account for a broader range of submission possibilities and be presented to the student as part of the syllabus package. Additionally, the importance of taking the time to review work and correct errors before final deliverables submission must be emphasized.
- 2. **Improvement of the Indirect Measurement**: A small point value could be assigned to completion of the survey to encourage all students to complete it. Additionally, more specific survey questions could be added to the Blacboard Survey to further enhance faculty's understand of student perceptions.
- 3. **Business Plan Contest**: Opportunity to expand the Business Plan Contest to other courses, or to take winning ideas beyond the planning phase in to later coursework, such as BMGT 417 Strategic Planning.
- 4. School of Business Action Plan for NSSE Results: Main areas of opportunity for both student populations include increased engagement with faculty and programming outside of the classroom. Results consistent with significant number of working professionals within student population.

### NOTE:

1. Direct Measure (3<sup>rd</sup> Annual Business Plan Contest - Presentation and Report) was applied to all business programs that require the BMGT 101 - Introduction to Business core course. Results were assessed for the total participant pool, and are reported as such for each program.

## **Program-Level Intended Outcomes Form**

	Student Learning Information for B.S. (Business – Saturday FAST)			
Mission of the School of Business:  To enhance the career potential of students from various academic and societal backgrounds through a traditional and enterprising education that provides the applied career skills and knowledge of best practices that is desired by employers in the public, private, and non-profit sectors. The School of Business will be the regional leader in developing and adapting programs that prepare students with the skills desired in the workforce through excellence in management programs and business discipline programs.				
Intended Student Learning Outcomes for	r B.S. (Business – Saturday FAST)	(detailed definition of learning objectives attached):		
Work well with others and with a de Target in 2010-2011	monstrated appreciation of individ	ual differences and a sensitivity to diversity. (Teamwork) – Assessment		
Develop and demonstrate tea	am building skills			
<ul> <li>Manage a team to analyze a</li> </ul>	problem and achieve a goal			
<ul> <li>Use social network skills to</li> </ul>	cultivate and build relationships w	ith class members		
<ul> <li>Develop ability to participate</li> </ul>	e effectively and cooperatively as a	a team member		
2. Clearly communicate thoughts and is	deas both verbally and in writing. (	(Communication) – Assessment Target in 2009/2010		
3. Apply information technology tools	3. Apply information technology tools and techniques to meet the needs and expectations of the workplace. (Information Technology)			
4. Analyze, integrate and communicate Thinking)	4. Analyze, integrate and communicate complex information to facilitate management decision-making. (Decision Making/Problem Solving/ Critical Thinking)			
5. Apply theory and practice into solving	ng organizational problems. (Theor	ry and Practice)		
Assessment Tools/Methods for Intended Direct Measures of Student Learning	Assessment Tools/Methods for Intended Student Learning Outcomes— Direct Measures of Student Learning  Performance Targets/Criteria for Direct Measures:			
Strategic Plan Assignment written as a group		Establish baseline		
Assessment Tools/Methods for Intended Student Learning Outcomes— Indirect Measures of Student Learning		Performance Targets/Criteria for Indirect Measures:		
1. Student reflection paper (based on di	irect measure experience)	Establish baseline		

2. Instructor reflection paper (based on direct measure experience)	Establish baseline		
3. NSSE Bi-Annual Survey of freshman and senior undergraduate respondents for total School of Business (breakdown by program is unavailable at this time)	85% or higher of Survey Responses are equal to or better than Point Pa University, Middle States, Carnegie class and/or NSSE respondent poor measured for freshman and senior respondents respectively		
Summary of Results from Implementing Direct Measures of Student Lea	Samuel of Development and American Direct Management of Student Learning		
Summary of Results from implementing Direct weasures of Student Lea	ming.	Met	Not Met
1. The group project was assigned in BUS 417 (Dynamics of Business of One section was offered during the measurement term.	& Strategy) was used for the direct measure.	Setting Baseline	
Summary of Results from Implementing Indirect Measures of Student Le	parning.	Performance 7	Target Was
Summary of Results from implementing municet weasures of Student Le	zaming.	Met	Not Met
1. As reported in Student Reflections, 2 of 3 groups worked extremely well together, with one group encountering time management problems that dealt with procrastination. Two of the groups demonstrated a strong work ethic, met deadlines and exhibited good communication skills. Challenged group had difficulty with the assignment requirements, but waited until the last minute to complete the project and failed to anticipate challenges with coordinating work schedules.		Setting Baseline	
2. The instructor noted in the reflection document that overall comprehension and work ethic of the class was very good. Groups were well-balanced, where the weekly "look-up" assignment provided a discrete source of engagement. Many of the student engagement techniques worked well. Instructor noted need for professional development to refine and enhance instructional techniques to foster inclusion and engagement of all students.		Setting Baseline	
3. School of Business Result: Freshman respondents on 2009 survey rated the School of Business on par or above one or more peers for 96% of metrics, with 6% of metrics evaluated as statistically significantly higher than one or more peers and 4% of metrics statistically significantly lower than peers. Senior respondents rated the School of Business on par or above one or more peers for 94% of metrics, with 2% of metrics evaluated as statistically significantly higher than one or more peers and 6% of metrics statistically significantly lower than peers. Main areas of opportunity for both student populations include increased engagement with faculty and programming outside of the classroom. Results consistent with significant number of working professionals within student population.			
Proposed Courses of Action for Improvement in Areas for which Perform	nance Targets Were Not Met:	L	
1. Provide training & development opportunities for facultyto assist wit	h teamwork activities and student engagement.		

- 1. Provide training & development opportunities for faculty to assist with teamwork activities and student engagement.
- 2. Introduce more team exercises elsewhere in the program curriculum to develop student competencies in working more effectively as teams.
- 3. School of Business Action Plan for NSSE Results: Main areas of opportunity for both student populations include increased engagement with faculty and programming outside of the classroom. Results consistent with significant number of working professionals within student population.

### NOTE:

1. **Feedback Loop for 2009-2010 outcomes assessment:** the 2009-2010 learning outcomes assessment noted a deficiency in the results of the direct measurement of student learning objective – "Clearly communicate thoughts and ideas both verbally and in writing (Communication)". Recommended action was implemented and a feedback loop instituted to measure the effectiveness of remediation. The results of the follow up assessment, using the same rubric, were that scores ranged from 35-49 out of a possible 50 Points. In both years, the assessment goals were met. However, additional areas where improvements are needed were identified, mainly in the area of documenting sources. Future action plans have been developed including the preparation of instructions on documenting sources for students, and additional training for faculty. See "B.S. Business -Accelerated Programs – Closing the Loop Assessment on Communications Objective".

# **Program-Level Intended Outcomes Form**

Str	Student Learning Information for A.S. & B.S. (Information Technology)				
Mission of the School of Business:  To enhance the career potential of students from various academic and societal backgrounds through a traditional and enterprising education that provides the applied career skills and knowledge of best practices that is desired by employers in the public, private, and non-profit sectors. The School of Business will be the regional leader in developing and adapting programs that prepare students with the skills desired in the workforce through excellence in management programs and business discipline programs.					
Intended Student Learning Outcomes fo	r A.S. & B.S. (Information Technol	ogy) (detailed definition of learning objectives attached):			
1. Work well with others and with a de	emonstrated appreciation of individ	ual differences and a sensitivity to diversity. (Teamwork)			
2. Clearly communicate thoughts and i	ideas both verbally and in writing. (	(Communication) – Assessment Target in 2009/2010			
3. Apply information technology tools	and techniques to meet the needs a	and expectations of the workplace. (Information Technology)			
4. Analyze, integrate and communicate complex information to facilitate management decision-making. (Decision Making/Problem Solving/ Critica Thinking) – Assessment Target in 2010-2011					
5. Apply theory and practice into solvi	ng organizational problems. (Theor	ry and Practice)			
Assessment Tools/Methods for Intended Direct Measures of Student Learning	Student Learning Outcomes—	Performance Targets/Criteria for Direct Measures:			
1. Project 1: Database Construction, V (Rubric attached)	Veb Interface, Function and Form	The standard for achievement is that 70% of students (organized in teams) will score 70% or higher on the rubric.			
2. Project 2: Web Interface, Function	and Form (Rubric attached)	The standard for achievement is that 70% of students (organized in teams) will score 70% or higher on the rubric.			
3. Group Project: Database Design, PowerPoint Presentation, Web Site Active Prototype, and Application Programs (Rubric attached)  The standard for achievement is that 70% of students (organized in team will score 70% or higher on the rubric.					
Assessment Tools/Methods for Intended Indirect Measures of Student Learning	1 Student Learning Outcomes—	Performance Targets/Criteria for Indirect Measures:			
1. Students must use techniques and st	rategies developed in prior	Lessons Learned Summary to form baseline for future measurement			

	coursework to be successful. Success depends on student level of comprehension gained from previous courses. (Rubric attached)			
2.	NSSE Bi-Annual Survey of freshman and senior undergraduate respondents for total School of Business (breakdown by program is unavailable at this time)	85% or higher of Survey Responses are equal to or better than Point University, Middle States, Carnegie class and/or NSSE respondent p measured for freshman and senior respondents respectively		
C	manage of Desults from Implementing Direct Messures of Student Learning		Performance Target Was	
Su	mmary of Results from Implementing Direct Measures of Student Learning	ing:	Met	Not Met
1.	1. Project 1: Database Construction, Web Interface, Function and Form in CMPS 425 (Advanced Database Management Systems) was used for the direct measure. 11 out of 13 students met the standard of 70% or better performance in the integration of concepts learned in CMPS 320 (Web Design and Development) and CMPS 325 (Introduction to Database Systems). There was strong performance in application of database construction (12 out of 13), while only 9 out of 13 students were able to apply web interface concepts at a level of proficiency at 70% or better. Results were strongly influenced by the small class size (13 students) and a grade of "0" received by one student in the class.			
2.	2. Project 2: Web Interface, Function and Form in CMPS 425 (Advanced Database Management Systems) was used for the direct measure. The performance target was not met, given 6 out of 13 students did not meet the standard of 70% or better performance measured by the assignment. Directly measuring effectiveness in the application of skills learned in CMPS 320 in the performance of students on Project 2, 9 out of 13 met the performance target. Results of this embedded measurement were influenced by the small class size (13 students) and a grade of "0" received by one student in the class. In particular, students showed a weakness in scripting skills and web skills, with four students choosing not to attempt those sections of the project.			X
3.	Group Project: Database Design, PowerPoint Presentation, Web Site A CMPS 425 (Advanced Database Management Systems) was used for the standard of 70% or better performance in the integration of concepts lead Development) and CMPS 325 (Introduction to Database Systems) in admeasuring the specific performance on skills learned in these individual the group project, where the synergy of the group overcame the individual projects.	ne direct measure. All students met the arned in CMPS 320 (Web Design and dition to meeting the standard when I pre-requisite courses. Students did best on	X	
Su	Summary of Results from Implementing Indirect Measures of Student Learning:			Target Was
	difficulty of Results from imprementing maneer vicusares of Student Bourning.			Not Met
1.	Indirect measure captured student application of skills acquired in CMF and CMPS 325 (Introduction to Database Systems) in each of the three students demonstrated an ability to integrate skills learned in prior cour a group. Overall, students indicated tat they were very satisfied with the benefited by more work with web scripting.	direct measures. In all three projects, all sework as an individual and when working in	Setting Baseline	

2.	School of Business Result: Freshman respondents on 2009 survey rated the School of Business on par or above one or more peers for 96% of metrics, with 6% of metrics evaluated as statistically significantly higher than one or more peers and 4% of metrics statistically significantly lower than peers. Senior respondents rated the School of Business on par or above one or more peers for 94% of metrics, with 2% of metrics evaluated as statistically significantly higher than one or more peers and 6% of metrics statistically significantly lower than peers. Main areas of opportunity for both student populations include increased engagement with faculty and programming outside of the classroom. Results consistent with significant number of working professionals within student population.	X	
----	---	---	--

## Proposed Courses of Action for Improvement in Areas for which Performance Targets Were Not Met:

- 1. The curriculum needs to adapt to expose the students to more scripting languages. Faculty are reviewing curriculum to address this gap, and will identify resource requirements based on recommendations arising from this review.
- 2. School of Business Action Plan for NSSE Results: Main areas of opportunity for both student populations include increased engagement with faculty and programming outside of the classroom. Results consistent with significant number of working professionals within student population.

## NOTE:

Direct Measure and Indirect Measure (Student Self-Assessment of Report) covered students in Information Technology & Management programs. Results for these measures are reported in program reports for these degrees. In particular, Information Technology & Management program assessment results are also presented in anticipation of accreditation as part of the 2011 re-affirmation process.

# **Program-Level Intended Outcomes Form**

Student Learning In	formation for <i>B.S. (1</i>	Information Technology and Management)
traditiona practices Business	al and enterprising eduthat is desired by emp will be the regional learned in the workforce	Il of students from various academic and societal backgrounds through a acation that provides the applied career skills and knowledge of best ployers in the public, private, and non-profit sectors. The School of eader in developing and adapting programs that prepare students with the through excellence in management programs and business discipline
Intended Student Learning Outcomes for B.S. (Inform	ation Technology and	d Management) (detailed definition of learning objectives attached):
1. Work well with others and with a demonstrated ap	opreciation of individ	ual differences and a sensitivity to diversity. (Teamwork)
2. Clearly communicate thoughts and ideas both ver	bally and in writing. (	Communication) – Assessment Target in 2009/2010
3. Apply information technology tools and technique	es to meet the needs a	nd expectations of the workplace. (Information Technology)
4. Analyze, integrate and communicate complex info Thinking) – Assessment Target in 2010-2011	ormation to facilitate	management decision-making. (Decision Making/Problem Solving/ Critical
5. Apply theory and practice into solving organization	onal problems. (Theor	ry and Practice)
Assessment Tools/Methods for Intended Student Lear Direct Measures of Student Learning	ning Outcomes—	Performance Targets/Criteria for Direct Measures:
Project 1: Database Construction, Web Interface,     (Rubric attached)	Function and Form	The standard for achievement is that 70% of students (organized in teams) will score 70% or higher on the rubric.
2. Project 2: Web Interface, Function and Form (Ru	bric attached)	The standard for achievement is that 70% of students (organized in teams) will score 70% or higher on the rubric.
3. Group Project: Database Design, PowerPoint Pre Active Prototype,and Application Programs (Rubi		The standard for achievement is that 70% of students (organized in teams) will score 70% or higher on the rubric.
Assessment Tools/Methods for Intended Student Lear Indirect Measures of Student Learning	ning Outcomes—	Performance Targets/Criteria for Indirect Measures:
Students must use techniques and strategies develors coursework to be successful. Success depends on		Lessons Learned Summary to form baseline for future measurement

	comprehension gained from previous courses. (Rubric attached)			
2.	NSSE Bi-Annual Survey of freshman and senior undergraduate respondents for total School of Business (breakdown by program is unavailable at this time)	85% or higher of Survey Responses are equal University, Middle States, Carnegie class and measured for freshman and senior respondent	l/or NSSE respo	
Su	mmary of Results from Implementing Direct Measures of Student Learn	ina.	Performance 7	Γarget Was
Su	minary of Results from implementing Direct weasures of Student Learn	ing.	Met	Not Met
1.	Project 1: Database Construction, Web Interface, Function and Form in Management Systems) was used for the direct measure. 11 out of 13 states performance in the integration of concepts learned in CMPS 320 (Web (Introduction to Database Systems). There was strong performance in of 13), while only 9 out of 13 students were able to apply web interface better. Results were strongly influenced by the small class size (13 student in the class.	tudents met the standard of 70% or better Design and Development) and CMPS 325 application of database construction (12 out e concepts at a level of proficiency at 70% or	X	
2.	Project 2: Web Interface, Function and Form in CMPS 425 (Advanced for the direct measure. The performance target was not met, given 6 or 70% or better performance measured by the assignment. Directly measured in CMPS 320 in the performance of students on Project 2 Results of this embedded measurement were influenced by the small clareceived by one student in the class. In particular, students showed a way with four students choosing not to attempt those sections of the project.	at of 13 students did not meet the standard of suring effectiveness in the application of 2, 9 out of 13 met the performance target. lass size (13 students) and a grade of "0" yeakness in scripting skills and web skills,		X
3.	Group Project: Database Design, PowerPoint Presentation, Web Site A CMPS 425 (Advanced Database Management Systems) was used for the standard of 70% or better performance in the integration of concepts led Development) and CMPS 325 (Introduction to Database Systems) in additional measuring the specific performance on skills learned in these individual the group project, where the synergy of the group overcame the individual projects.	he direct measure. All students met the arned in CMPS 320 (Web Design and Idition to meeting the standard when all pre-requisite courses. Students did best on	X	
Cu	manager of Desults from Implementing Indinect Messures of Student I con	min or	Performance 7	Γarget Was
Su	mmary of Results from Implementing Indirect Measures of Student Lear	ming.	Met	Not Met
1.	Indirect measure captured student application of skills acquired in CMI andCMPS 325 (Introduction to Database Systems) in each of the three students demonstrated an ability to integrate skills learned in prior cour a group. Overall, students indicated tat they were very satisfied with the benefited by more work with web scripting.	direct measures. In all three projects, all resework as an individual and when working in	Setting Baseline	

2. School of Business Result: Freshman respondents on 2009 survey rated the School of Business on par or above or more peers for 96% of metrics, with 6% of metrics evaluated as statistically significantly higher than one or peers and 4% of metrics statistically significantly lower than peers. Senior respondents rated the School of Business on par or above one or more peers for 94% of metrics, with 2% of metrics evaluated as statistically significantly	more siness	
higher than one or more peers and 6% of metrics statistically significantly lower than peers. Main areas of opportunity for both student populations include increased engagement with faculty and programming outside classroom. Results consistent with significant number of working professionals within student population.	of the	

## Proposed Courses of Action for Improvement in Areas for which Performance Targets Were Not Met:

- 1. The curriculum needs to adapt to expose the students to more scripting languages. Faculty are reviewing curriculum to address this gap, and will identify resource requirements based on recommendations arising from this review.
- 2. School of Business Action Plan for NSSE Results: Main areas of opportunity for both student populations include increased engagement with faculty and programming outside of the classroom. Results consistent with significant number of working professionals within student population.

## NOTE:

Direct Measure and Indirect Measure (Student Self-Assessment of Report) covered students in Information Technology & Management programs. Results for these measures are reported in program reports for these degrees. In particular, Information Technology & Management program assessment results are also presented in anticipation of accreditation as part of the 2011 re-affirmation process.

# **Program-Level Intended Outcomes Form**

	Student Learning Information	for B.S. (Economics & Finance)
Mission of the School of Business:	traditional and enterprising ed practices that is desired by em Business will be the regional I	al of students from various academic and societal backgrounds through a ucation that provides the applied career skills and knowledge of best ployers in the public, private, and non-profit sectors. The School of eader in developing and adapting programs that prepare students with the through excellence in management programs and business discipline
Intended Student Learning Outcomes fo	r B.S. (Economics & Finance) (det	ailed definition of learning objectives attached):
1. Work well with others and with a de	emonstrated appreciation of individ	ual differences and a sensitivity to diversity. (Teamwork)
2. Clearly communicate thoughts and i	deas both verbally and in writing.	(Communication) – Assessment Target in 2009/2010
3. Apply information technology tools	and techniques to meet the needs a	and expectations of the workplace. (Information Technology)
4. Analyze, integrate and communicate Thinking) – Assessment Target in 2		management decision-making. (Decision Making/Problem Solving/ Critical
5. Apply theory and practice into solvi	ng organizational problems. (Theor	ry and Practice)
Assessment Tools/Methods for Intended Direct Measures of Student Learning	Student Learning Outcomes—	Performance Targets/Criteria for Direct Measures:
Report evaluating the financial strength the financial documents and also transcompany during the semester. (Rubin company during the semester).	cking the stock prices of the	The standard for achievement is that at 50% of students will score an average of 3.0 or higher on the rubric.
2. 3 <sup>rd</sup> Annual Business Plan Contest – (Shared Measure for Intro to Busine		Participants achieve "above average" or better based on rubric
Assessment Tools/Methods for Intended Indirect Measures of Student Learning	Student Learning Outcomes—	Performance Targets/Criteria for Indirect Measures:
Student Self Assessment of what the (Rubric attached)	ey learned from the project	Student Self-Assessment input to form baseline for future measurement
2. NSSE Bi-Annual Survey of freshma	n and senior undergraduate	85% or higher of Survey Responses are equal to or better than Point Park

	respondents for total School of Business (breakdown by program is unavailable at this time)	University, Middle States, Carnegie class and measured for freshman and senior respondent		ondent pool -
Sin	nmary of Results from Implementing Direct Measures of Student Learning:		Performance Target Was	
Sui	illinary of Results from implementing Direct Measures of Student Learning	ing.	Met	Not Met
1.	BMGT 300 (Corporate Finance) was originally selected as the target cotime faculty participated in the assessment. The target performance leviscore of 3.0 was not met – only 6 out of 13 students were able to achieve missed the standard by one point. Students were weak in the financial a area. This indicates that students had the data, but did not completely at the student composition in the class, it was determined that no Economissections. The faculty decided to extend the measurement to ECON 203 utilizing a position paper assigned in the course and a restructured course. For the second assessment, all full time and adjunct course instructors performance level of 50% of students achieving a minimum score of 3.0 able to achieve the target level. Students did best on the content measure exceeded the miminum score), while students did worst on the analysis the mimimum score). This performance confirmed the strengths (content content) observed in the assessment of BMGT 300.	el of 50% of students achieving a minimum ve the target level. However 4 students analysis section, while stronger in the content malyze the data. Upon further examination of ics & Finance majors were enrolled in these 8 (Economic History of the United States), see specific rubric.  Participated in the assessment. The target 0 was not met – only 3 out of 8 students were re of the rubric (62% or 5 out of 8 met or measure (37% or 3 out of 8 met or exceeded		X
2.	Judges from the University and external community assessed all contest continue to see improvement year over year in the quality and creativity		X	
C	mmary of Results from Implementing Indirect Measures of Student Lear	ning.	Performance Target Wa	
Sui	initiary of Results from implementing indirect Measures of Student Lear	ming.	Met	Not Met
1.	Overall, students indicated they were very satisfied with both courses. remarked at how the assignment helped them to analyze the information participating in ECON 203 noted the amount of new information they le improvement in analytical skills as a result of the assignment.	n contained in the report. Students	Setting Baseline	
2.	School of Business Result: Freshman respondents on 2009 survey rated or more peers for 96% of metrics, with 6% of metrics evaluated as statistically significantly lower than peers. Set on par or above one or more peers for 94% of metrics, with 2% of metric higher than one or more peers and 6% of metrics statistically significant opportunity for both student populations include increased engagement classroom. Results consistent with significant number of working professions.	stically significantly higher than one or more nior respondents rated the School of Business ics evaluated as statistically significantly tly lower than peers. Main areas of with faculty and programming outside of the	X	
Pro	posed Courses of Action for Improvement in Areas for which Performan	nce Targets Were Not Met:		

- 1. **Improvement of the Direct Measurement**: Enrollment in the couse should be reviewed before a course is chosen to ensure that the majority of students in the course represent the major/program being evaluated. In addition, all faculty need to submit data and evaluations in the same format and level of detail to facilitate depth and completeness of the analysis.
- 2. The curriculum should place greater emphasis on analysis, including content-heavy courses. Faculty will review curriculum for opportunities to strengthen analysis components, and identify additional resources as appropriate.
- 3. **Business Plan Contest**: Opportunity to expand the Business Plan Contest to other courses, or to take winning ideas beyond the planning phase in to later coursework, such as BMGT 417 Strategic Planning.
- 4. School of Business Action Plan for NSSE Results: Main areas of opportunity for both student populations include increased engagement with faculty and programming outside of the classroom. Results consistent with significant number of working professionals within student population.

### NOTE:

1. Economics & Finance program assessment results are also presented in anticipation of accreditation as part of the 2011 re-affirmation process.

# **Program-Level Intended Outcomes Form**

Student	<b>Learning Information for B.S.</b> (	Sport, Arts & Entertainment Management)
Mission of the School of Business:	traditional and enterprising ed practices that is desired by em Business will be the regional I	al of students from various academic and societal backgrounds through a ucation that provides the applied career skills and knowledge of best ployers in the public, private, and non-profit sectors. The School of eader in developing and adapting programs that prepare students with the through excellence in management programs and business discipline
Intended Student Learning Outcomes for	r B.S. (Sport, Arts & Entertainmen	t Management) (detailed definition of learning objectives attached):
Target in 2010-2011  • Demonstrate ability to work	••	ual differences and a sensitivity to diversity. (Teamwork) – Assessment ts that relate to the sport, arts, and entertainment fields.
2. Clearly communicate thoughts and is	deas both verbally and in writing. (	(Communication) – Assessment Target in 2009/2010
3. Apply information technology tools	and techniques to meet the needs a	and expectations of the workplace. (Information Technology)
4. Analyze, integrate and communicate Thinking)	complex information to facilitate	management decision-making. (Decision Making/Problem Solving/ Critical
5. Apply theory and practice into solving	ng organizational problems. (Theor	ry and Practice)
Assessment Tools/Methods for Intended Direct Measures of Student Learning	Student Learning Outcomes—	Performance Targets/Criteria for Direct Measures:
First of three project assignments in Promotion (Rubric attached)	SAEM 202 – SAE Marketing &	Setting Baseline
Second of three project assignments     & Promotion (Rubric attached)	in SAEM 202 – SAE Marketing	Setting Baseline
3. Third of three project assignments in Promotion (Rubric attached)	n SAEM 202 – SAE Marketing &	Setting Baseline
Assessment Tools/Methods for Intended	Student Learning Outcomes—	Performance Targets/Criteria for Indirect Measures:

Ind	direct Measures of Student Learning			
	Student/Team Self Reflections (Rubric attached)	Student Self-Reflection input to form baseling	e for future mea	surement
2.	Instructor Reflection	Setting baseline for future assessment design		
3.	NSSE Bi-Annual Survey of freshman and senior undergraduate respondents for total School of Business (breakdown by program is unavailable at this time)	85% or higher of Survey Responses are equal University, Middle States, Carnegie class and measured for freshman and senior respondent	or NSSE respo	
C	mmony of Doculto from Implementing Direct Massaures of Student Loam	in a.	Performance Target Was	
Sui	mmary of Results from implementing Direct Measures of Student Learn	nary of Results from Implementing Direct Measures of Student Learning:		Not Met
	The three direct measures included three projects, one from each are of each other to expose students to all aspects of developing and writing a the teams select different clients from the business community. The prareas of analysis and integration, and challenged the teams to work mor assignment. Measurement was captured for each project, and compares students exhibited growth in their evaluation of each other's teamwork of their own team work efforts. Students struggle with evaluation of the	marketing plan. Each project required that ojects represented successively more difficult re closely together in order to complete the d for trends across measurement points. The for each project, as well as in their evaluation eir own teamwork performance and that of	Setting Baselines	
	their peers. Changing teams for each project helped to increase their di	versity of experience and their team skills.		
Su			Performance 7	Γarget Was
Sur	their peers. Changing teams for each project helped to increase their di mmary of Results from Implementing Indirect Measures of Student Lear		Performance Met	Γarget Was Not Met
		rning: from project 2 to 3. The latter observation		
	mmary of Results from Implementing Indirect Measures of Student Lear  Student grades improved overall from project 1 to 3, although dropped may be due to the greater amount of time spent by teams in preparation 3.	from project 2 to 3. The latter observation a for project 2, versus requirements for project d the School of Business on par or above one stically significantly higher than one or more nior respondents rated the School of Business rics evaluated as statistically significantly atly lower than peers. Main areas of with faculty and programming outside of the	Met Setting	
2.	Student grades improved overall from project 1 to 3, although dropped may be due to the greater amount of time spent by teams in preparation 3.  School of Business Result: Freshman respondents on 2009 survey rate or more peers for 96% of metrics, with 6% of metrics evaluated as stati peers and 4% of metrics statistically significantly lower than peers. Set on par or above one or more peers for 94% of metrics, with 2% of metric higher than one or more peers and 6% of metrics statistically significant opportunity for both student populations include increased engagement	from project 2 to 3. The latter observation for project 2, versus requirements for project d the School of Business on par or above one stically significantly higher than one or more nior respondents rated the School of Business rics evaluated as statistically significantly atly lower than peers. Main areas of with faculty and programming outside of the dessionals within student population.	Met Setting Baseline	
1. 2. Pro	Student grades improved overall from project 1 to 3, although dropped may be due to the greater amount of time spent by teams in preparation 3.  School of Business Result: Freshman respondents on 2009 survey rate or more peers for 96% of metrics, with 6% of metrics evaluated as stati peers and 4% of metrics statistically significantly lower than peers. Set on par or above one or more peers for 94% of metrics, with 2% of metric higher than one or more peers and 6% of metrics statistically significant opportunity for both student populations include increased engagement classroom. Results consistent with significant number of working professions.	from project 2 to 3. The latter observation for project 2, versus requirements for project d the School of Business on par or above one stically significantly higher than one or more nior respondents rated the School of Business rics evaluated as statistically significantly atly lower than peers. Main areas of with faculty and programming outside of the dessionals within student population.	Met Setting Baseline	
1. 2. Pro	Student grades improved overall from project 1 to 3, although dropped may be due to the greater amount of time spent by teams in preparation 3.  School of Business Result: Freshman respondents on 2009 survey rate or more peers for 96% of metrics, with 6% of metrics evaluated as stati peers and 4% of metrics statistically significantly lower than peers. Set on par or above one or more peers for 94% of metrics, with 2% of metric higher than one or more peers and 6% of metrics statistically significant opportunity for both student populations include increased engagement classroom. Results consistent with significant number of working profesposed Courses of Action for Improvement in Areas for which Performance.	from project 2 to 3. The latter observation for project 2, versus requirements for project d the School of Business on par or above one stically significantly higher than one or more nior respondents rated the School of Business rics evaluated as statistically significantly atly lower than peers. Main areas of with faculty and programming outside of the dessionals within student population.	Met Setting Baseline	

work as a valuable skill for use as a management tool as well as improving personal effectiveness in team settings.

4. School of Business Action Plan for NSSE Results: Main areas of opportunity for both student populations include increased engagement with faculty and programming outside of the classroom. Results consistent with significant number of working professionals within student population.

## NOTE:

1. Sport, Arts & Entertainment management program assessment results are also presented in anticipation of accreditation as part of the 2011 reaffirmation process.